arXiv:1411.0656v1 [math.AG] 3 Nov 2014

EXOTIC G_2-MANIFOLDS

DIARMUID CROWLEY AND JOHANNES NORDSTRÖM

Abstract. We exhibit the first examples of closed 7-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with holonomy G_2 that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. These are also the first examples of closed Ricci-flat manifolds that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. The examples are generated by applying the twisted connected sum construction to Fano 3-folds of Picard rank 1 and 2. The smooth structures are distinguished by the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant introduced by the authors in a previous paper.

1. Introduction

Given a type of special geometric structure, it is often interesting to ask: do there exist manifolds with such structures that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic? In this paper we consider the case of Riemannian metrics with holonomy G_2 on closed manifolds of dimension 7.

The general strategy is to apply a topological classification theorem to a plentiful supply of examples for which the classifying invariants are computable. In this case we use the "twisted connected sum" construction of closed G_2-manifolds [11]; it was shown in [4] that this construction yields large numbers of closed G_2-manifolds that are *2-connected*, with torsion-free cohomology, and how to compute the invariants required to apply homeomorphism classification results of Wilkens.

The diffeomorphism classification of 2-connected 7-manifolds was recently completed in [6], which in particular introduced a generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant that can distinguish between different smooth structures on the same closed topological spin 7-manifold. In the present paper we show how to compute this invariant for twisted connected sums, and use that to identify examples of closed 2-connected manifolds with holonomy G_2 that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. To the best of our knowledge, these are also the first examples of closed Ricci-flat manifolds (of any dimension) that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic.

Since the paper is primarily devoted to the topological analysis of a particular class of examples of G_2-manifolds, it makes practically no use of results about G_2 geometry in general. Background on the definition of G_2 and Riemannian holonomy can be found *e.g.* in the books by Salamon [16] or Joyce [10]. The main technical work of the paper is to examine in detail the properties of some examples of Fano 3-folds and their anticanonical divisors.

1.1. **Twisted connected sums.** Given a pair of Fano 3-folds Y_+, Y_- with smooth anticanonical K3 divisors $\Sigma_\pm \subset Y_\pm$ and a *matching* diffeomorphism $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ (Definition 4.3), the twisted connected sum construction yields a closed 7-manifold M with metrics of holonomy G_2. The procedure is summarised in §2.3 and §4.1.

We categorise the matching as either *perpendicular* or *non-perpendicular*, depending on the action of $\mathtt{r}$ on the images of $H^2(Y_\pm)$ in $H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$. For most pairs $\mathcal{Y}_+, \mathcal{Y}_-$ among the 105 deformation types of Fano 3-folds, general deformation theory results make it possible to find a perpendicular matching of some $Y_\pm \in \mathcal{Y}_\pm$ resulting in a 2-connected twisted connected sum G_2-manifold. As we explain below, such perpendicular matchings can never be homeomorphic without being diffeomorphic.

Whether there is any non-perpendicular matching of a pair of members of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ is in general a more difficult question. There are necessary conditions of a lattice-arithmetical nature, but, as we discuss in §5.1, showing that matchings exist also requires some detailed information about the deformation theory of anticanonical divisors in $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ that is hard to obtain systematically.

1.2. **The classifying invariants.** Let us recall the relevant topological classification results. Given a closed 2-connected 7-manifold M, two obvious topological invariants are its cohomology ring $H^*(M)$ and its spin characteristic class $p_M \in H^4(M)$ (satisfying $p_1(M) = 2p_M$). If $H^4(M)$ is torsion-free, then this data can be reduced to the third Betti number $b_3(M)$ and the greatest integer $d(M)$ dividing p_M in $H^4(M)$ (set $d = 0$ if $p_M = 0$). In fact, the pair $(b_3(M), d(M))$ classifies such M up to homeomorphism (by Wilkens [17], see also Theorem 2.2).

For spin manifolds with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free, the *generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant* introduced in [6] reduces to a constant

$$\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\widehat{d},$$

and distinguishes between $\widehat{d} := \gcd(28, \operatorname{Num}\left(\frac{d}{4}\right))$ different smooth structures on the topological manifold underlying M (where $\operatorname{Num}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) := \frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}$). If $p_M = 0$ (so $\widehat{d} = 28$) then $\mu(M)$ coincides with the invariant introduced by Eells and Kuiper [7].

In §2.2 we recall how $\mu(M)$ can be defined in terms of a spin^c coboundary of M. In §3 we then construct explicit spin^c coboundaries of twisted connected sums, and use that to obtain a formula for their generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant in terms of data for the Fanos used and the matching. In particular, it turns out that any perpendicularly matched twisted connected sum has $\mu = 0$ (Corollary 3.5).

1.3. **The main examples.** To have any chance of obtaining homeomorphic but non-diffeomorphic twisted connected sums we must therefore search for non-perpendicular matchings. In that case, both Fanos used must have Picard rank $b_2(Y_\pm) \geq 2$ (*cf.* Remark 5.3). We are therefore led to study systematically the possible matchings of Fanos with Picard rank 2.

Theorem 1.1.

(i) *Any twisted connected sum M of Fanos of rank 1 or 2 has $H^4(M)$ torsion-free.*
(ii) *There are precisely six (unordered) pairs $\mathcal{Y}_+, \mathcal{Y}_-$ of deformation types of Fano 3-folds of Picard rank 2 with members that can be matched in the sense of Definition 4.3 in such a way that the resulting twisted connected sum M has $\mu(M) \neq 0$.*
(iii) *Those twisted connected sums are all 2-connected. Each of the six pairs gives rise to a unique diffeomorphism type of M with $\mu(M) \neq 0$.*
(iv) *In total, they realise four distinct diffeomorphism types of M with $\mu(M) \neq 0$.*
(v) *Precisely two of those are homeomorphic to some twisted connected sum M' of Fanos of Picard rank ≤ 2 such that $\mu(M') = 0$.*

In particular, we obtain two pairs (M, M') of G_2-manifolds that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic.

For each of the examples highlighted in Theorem 1.1, we indicate below the pair of deformation types used, whether the matching is perpendicular, and the classifying diffeomorphism invariants of the resulting twisted connected sum. Recall that a del Pezzo 3-fold is a Fano 3-fold Y whose anticanonical class $-K_Y \in \operatorname{Pic} Y$ is even.

$\mathcal{Y}_+$	$\mathcal{Y}_-$	$\perp$	b_3	d	μ
(i)	(ii)	yes	101	8	0
(vi)	(vi)	no	101	8	1
(ii)	(iii)	yes	89	8	0
(iv)	(vi)	no	89	8	1
(v)	(vi)	no	89	8	1
(iv)	(iv)	no	77	8	1
(v)	(iv)	no	77	8	1
(v)	(v)	no	77	24	1

(i) Del Pezzo 3-folds of degree 3, *i.e.* cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ (Picard rank 1).
(ii) Del Pezzo 3-folds of degree 5 (Picard rank 1).
(iii) Picard rank 1 Fanos of degree 16.
(iv) Number 9 in the Mori-Mukai list of Picard rank 2 Fano 3-folds: $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in a curve of degree 7 and genus 5.
(v) Number 17 in the Mori-Mukai list of Picard rank 2 Fano 3-folds: a smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ blown up in an elliptic curve of degree 5.
(vi) Number 27 in the Mori-Mukai list of Picard rank 2 Fano 3-folds: $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in a twisted cubic curve.

In §4 we compute detailed topological data for all 36 types in the Mori-Mukai list of rank 2 Fano 3-folds. In §5 we identify all pairs that satisfy the necessary arithmetic conditions for existence of a non-perpendicular matching resulting in a twisted connected sum with $\mu \neq 0$. The only candidate pairs are among the types (iv), (v) and (vi) above. By studying the anticanonical divisors in those types we show that non-perpendicular matchings do indeed exist in those six cases, leading to the examples with $\mu \neq 0$ above.

We then compare the homeomorphism invariants (b_3, d) of the realised manifolds with the invariants realised by perpendicular matchings of the 1378 pairs of rank 1 and 2 Fanos, listed in Table 3. For two of the four twisted connected sums with $\mu \neq 0$ we can identify a perpendicular matching with the same homeomorphism invariants, and those are the ones included in the table above.

In the process we identify all pairs of rank 2 Fanos that can be matched to define twisted connected sums M with $H^2(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (Table 4). Such matchings are of interest for the problem of constructing examples of G_2-instantons by gluing, *cf.* Sá Earp and Walpuski [13].

1.4. **Context.** In dimension 7, the problem of finding special metrics on manifolds that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic has been considered for instance in the case of Riemannian metrics with positive sectional curvature (Kreck-Stolz [12]) and 3-Sasakian metrics (Chinburg-Escher-Ziller [2]). In both cases, the examples exhibited have finite H^4, and the smooth structures can be distinguished by the ordinary Eells-Kuiper invariant.

All known (irreducible) examples of closed Ricci-flat manifolds have special holonomy: $2n$-manifolds with holonomy $SU(n)$ $(n \geq 2)$, $4n$-manifolds with holonomy $Sp(n)$ $(n \geq 2)$, 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 and 8-manifolds with holonomy $Spin(7)$.

In real dimension 4, the only smooth manifold with holonomy $SU(2)$ is the K3 surface. In real dimension 6, simply-connected manifolds have unique smooth structures, while there is no general classification result for finite but non-trivial fundamental group. Manifolds with holonomy $SU(n)$ or $Sp(n)$ necessarily have $b_2 > 0$, as do the known examples of manifolds of holonomy $Spin(7)$, putting manifolds with Ricci-flat holonomy in dimension ≥ 8 out of reach current topological classification results. Thus 7-manifolds of holonomy G_2 are the only kinds of closed Ricci-flat manifolds where homeomorphic non-diffeomorphic examples are accessible with the current technology.

Acknowledgements. We thank Alessio Corti and Mark Haskins for useful discussions. DC acknowledges the support of the Leibniz Prize of Wolfgang Lück, granted by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

2. Background

We begin with some more detailed explanation of the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant and the twisted connected sum construction, in order to clarify the meaning of the statement of the main theorem, Theorem 1.1.

2.1. **Spin and spin^c characteristic classes.** Recall that the classifying space $BSpin$ is 3-connected and $\pi_4(BSpin) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $H^4(BSpin) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is infinite cyclic. A generator is denoted $\pm\frac{p_1}{2}$ and the notation is justified since for the canonical map $\pi\colon BSpin \to BSO$ we have $\pi^* p_1 = 2\frac{p_1}{2}$ where p_1 is the first Pontrjagin class. Given a spin manifold X we write

$$p_X := \frac{p_1}{2}(TX) \in H^4(X).$$

A spin^c structure on a vector bundle E is equivalent to a choice of complex line bundle L such that $E \oplus L$ is spin. Given a spin^c structure on the tangent bundle of X we can therefore define characteristic classes $z := c_1(L) \in H^2(X)$, and $\widehat{p}_X := \frac{p_1}{2}(TX \oplus L) + z^2 \in H^4(X)$. Then $2\widehat{p}_X = p_1(X) + z^2$. If X is spin then we can of course take L to be trivial, and $\widehat{p}_X = p_X$.

Lemma 2.1 (*cf.* [6, 2.29–2.30])**.**

(i) *If TX has an almost complex structure then $\widehat{p}_X = -c_2(X) + c_1(X)^2$.*
(ii) $\widehat{p}_X = w_4(X) + w_2(X)^2 \bmod 2$.
(iii) *Suppose X is closed.*

- *If* $\dim X \leq 7$ *then* $\widehat{p}_X$ *is even.*
- *If* $\dim X = 8$ *then* $\widehat{p}_X$ *is characteristic for the intersection form of* X, i.e. $x^2 = x \cup \widehat{p}_X \mod 2$ *for any* $x \in H^4(X)$.

2.2. **The generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant.** We now describe the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant of a closed spin 7-manifold M, in the special case when $H^4(M)$ is torsion-free. As in the introduction, let d be the greatest integer dividing p_M (so d is even by Lemma 2.1(iii)), and $\widehat{d} := \gcd(28, \operatorname{Num}\left(\frac{d}{4}\right))$.

Let W a spin^c 8-manifold with $\partial W = M$. To use W to compute the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu(M)$ we need an element $n \in H^4(W)$ such that the image of dn in $H^4(M)$ equals p_M [6, §2.6]. Then

$$\mu(M) := \frac{\widehat{\alpha}^2 - \sigma(W)}{8} - \frac{5\bar{z}^2\widehat{p}_W}{12} + \frac{z^4}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}/\widehat{d}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\widehat{\alpha} := \widehat{p}_W - dn \in H^4(W)$ for n as above, and $\bar{z} \in H^2(W, M)$ is any pre-image of z ($\bar{z}^2 \in H^4(W, M)$ is independent of the choice of $\bar{z}$). The integrals of $\widehat{\alpha}^2$ and z^4 make sense since they are squares of classes that vanish on the boundary. That $\mu(M)$ is independent of the choice of W is a consequence of the index formula for the Dirac operator on a closed spin^c 8-manifold.

When M is in addition 2-connected, there are precisely $\widehat{d}$ different smooth structures on the topological manifold underlying M, and they are distinguished by $\mu(M)$.

Theorem 2.2 (*cf.* [6, Theorem 1.3])**.** *Let* M_0 *and* M_1 *be closed 2-connected 7-manifolds such that* $H^4(M_i)$ *are torsion-free. Then*

(i) M_0 *and* M_1 *are homeomorphic if and only if* $b_3(M_0) = b_3(M_1)$ *and* $d(M_0) = d(M_1)$.
(ii) M_0 *and* M_1 *are diffeomorphic if and only if in addition* $\mu(M_0) = \mu(M_1)$.

2.3. **Definition of twisted connected sums.** We now explain the construction of the twisted connected sum of a matching pair of building blocks, using the set-up from [4, §3]. Like in the original application of the construction by Kovalev [11], the present paper uses building blocks obtained from Fano 3-folds (in our case of rank 1 or 2) by a procedure explained in §4.1. The matching problem is elaborated on in §5.1.

Definition 2.3. Let Z be a non-singular algebraic 3-fold and $\Sigma \subset Z$ a non-singular K3 surface. Let N be the image of $H^2(Z) \to H^2(\Sigma)$. We call (Z, Σ) a *building block* if

(i) the anticanonical class $-K_Z \in H^2(Z)$ is indivisible,
(ii) $\Sigma \in |-K_Z|$, and there is a projective morphism $f\colon Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with $\Sigma = f^\star(\infty)$,
(iii) The inclusion $N \hookrightarrow H^2(\Sigma)$ is primitive, that is, $H^2(\Sigma)/N$ is torsion-free.
(iv) The group $H^3(Z)$—and thus also $H^4(Z)$—is torsion-free.

We call N, equipped with the restriction of the intersection form on $H^2(\Sigma)$, the *polarising lattice* of the block. (Because $H^{2,0}(Z)$ is automatically trivial, $N \subseteq H^{1,1}(\Sigma)$ [4, Lemma 3.6], so that Σ is 'N-polarised'.)

Remark. The class $[\Sigma] \in H^2(Z)$ is always in the kernel of $H^2(Z) \to H^2(\Sigma)$. In this paper we will only consider blocks where $[\Sigma]$ in fact generates the kernel.

Definition 2.4. Given a complex K3 surface Σ, we call a triple of 2-forms $(\omega^I, \omega^J, \omega^K)$ a *hyper-Kähler triple* if ω^I is a Kähler form with respect to the complex structure of Σ, $\omega^J + i\omega^K$ is a holomorphic (2,0)-form, and $(\omega^I)^2 = (\omega^J)^2 = (\omega^K)^2$.

Given hyper-Kähler triples $(\omega^I_\pm, \omega^J_\pm, \omega^K_\pm)$ on a pair of complex K3 surfaces $\Sigma_\pm$, we call a diffeomorphism $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ a *hyper-Kähler rotation* if $\mathtt{r}^*\omega^I_- = \omega^J_+$, $\mathtt{r}^*\omega^J_- = \omega^I_+$ and $\mathtt{r}^*\omega^K_- = -\omega^K_+$.

Definition 2.5. Given a pair of building blocks $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$, call a diffeomorphism $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ a *matching* if there exist hyper-Kähler triples $(\omega^I_\pm, \omega^J_\pm, \omega^K_\pm)$ on $\Sigma_\pm$ such that $\omega^I_\pm$ is the restriction of a Kähler form on $Z_\pm$, and $\mathtt{r}$ is a hyper-Kähler rotation.

Say that $\mathtt{r}$ is a *perpendicular* matching if the subspaces $\mathtt{r}^*N_-$ and N_+ are perpendicular with respect to the intersection form on $H^2(\Sigma_+)$.

Given a building block (Z, Σ), let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a disc that is a trivialising neighbourhood of ∞ for the fibration $f : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and $U := f^{-1}(\Delta)$. Then $V := Z \setminus U$ is a manifold with boundary diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \Sigma$.

Definition 2.6. Given a pair of building blocks $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$ with a matching $\mathfrak{r}$, their *twisted connected sum* M is the smooth 7-manifold defined by gluing $S^1 \times V_+$ and $S^1 \times V_-$ by the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} S^1 \times S^1 \times \Sigma_+ &\to S^1 \times S^1 \times \Sigma_-, \\ (u, v, x) &\mapsto (v, u, \mathfrak{r}(x)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

of their boundaries.

Theorem 2.7. *The twisted connected sum M admits metrics with holonomy G_2.*

*Proof (*cf. [4, Corollary 6.4]*).* By [8, Theorem D], the interiors the manifolds $V_\pm$ admit metrics with holonomy $SU(3)$ that are asymptotically cylindrical, *i.e.* in a collar neighbourhood $\cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \Sigma_\pm$ of the boundary they are close to a product cylinder metric. Then there exists a parallel $SU(3)$-structure, and the asymptotic limit of that can be characterised by a hyper-Kähler triple on $\Sigma_\pm$. We may prescribe it to be the hyper-Kähler triple appearing in the Definition 2.5. Then $\mathfrak{r}$ is a hyper-Kähler rotation of the asymptotic limits, so according to Kovalev [11, Theorem 5.34] the map (2.2) can be used to glue together torsion-free product G_2-structures on $S^1 \times V_+$ and $S^1 \times V_-$ to a torsion-free G_2-structure on M. □

2.4. **Topology of twisted connected sums.** Let $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$ be a pair of building blocks with a matching $\mathfrak{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$. We think of $\mathfrak{r}$ as identifying both Σ_+ and Σ_- with a standard smooth K3 surface Σ. Letting $L := H^2(\Sigma)$, we can then think of the polarising lattices $N_\pm$ of the two blocks as a pair of sublattices of L.

Let $T_\pm$ denote the orthogonal complement of $N_\pm$ in L. The following result summarises the cohomology of the twisted connected sum M.

Theorem 2.8 ([4, Theorem 4.8])**.** *Suppose M is a twisted connected sum of building blocks $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$ such that the kernel of $H^2(Z_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$ is generated by $[\Sigma_\pm]$. Then*

(i) $\pi_1(M) = 0$ *and* $H^1(M) = 0$*;*
(ii) $H^2(M) \cong N_+ \cap N_-$*;*
(iii) $H^3(M) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (L/_{N_+ + N_-}) \oplus (N_- \cap T_+) \oplus (N_+ \cap T_-) \oplus H^3(Z_+) \oplus H^3(Z_-)$*;*
(iv) $H^4(M) \cong H^4(S) \oplus (T_+ \cap T_-) \oplus (L/_{N_- + T_+}) \oplus (L/_{N_+ + T_-}) \oplus H^3(Z_+) \oplus H^3(Z_-)$.

This implies in particular that if the matching $\mathfrak{r}$ is perpendicular then $H^4(M)$ is torsion-free, and

$$b_2(M) = 0, \quad b_3(M) = b_3(Z_+) + b_3(Z_-) + 23. \tag{2.3}$$

If in addition the perpendicular direct sum $N_+ \perp N_- \subset L$ is primitive then M is 2-connected.

We need to devote some extra attention to describing $H^4(M)$, since that contains the spin characteristic class p_M, whose greatest divisor $d(M)$ is one of our classifying invariants from Theorem 2.2. Let

$$H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \subset H^4(Z_+) \oplus H^4(Z_-) \tag{2.4}$$

be the subgroup of pairs with equal image in $H^4(\Sigma)$. We define a homomorphism

$$Y : H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \to H^4(M) \tag{2.5}$$

as follows (*cf.* [4, Definition 4.13]). For $([\alpha_+], [\alpha_-]) \in H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$, let $[\beta]$ be their common image in $H^4(S)$. Then we may choose the cocycles $\alpha_\pm \in C^4(Z_\pm; \mathbb{Z})$ so that the restriction of $\alpha_\pm$ to $\partial V_\pm \cong S^1 \times \Sigma \subset Z_\pm$ is the pull-back of β by projection to the Σ factor. Then the pull-back of $\alpha_\pm$ to $S^1 \times V_\pm$ patch to a cocycle on M under the gluing (2.2), and we may set $Y([\alpha_+], [\alpha_-])$ to be the class represented by that cocycle.

Let

$$\flat^\pm : N_\mp \to N_\pm^* \tag{2.6}$$

denote the homomorphism induced by the intersection form of L, and $N'_\mp \subseteq N_\pm^*$ the image of $\flat^\pm$. Since $N_\pm^* \subset H^4(Z_\pm)$, we can also regard $N'_\mp$ as a subset of $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$.

Lemma 2.9. *The image of* (2.5) *is the direct summand* $H^4(S) \oplus (L/_{N_-+T_+}) \oplus (L/_{N_++T_-})$ *of* $H^4(M)$*, and the kernel is* $N'_- \oplus N'_+ \subset H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$*.*

Remark 2.10. The image of Y contains all the torsion of $H^4(M)$, which we can identify as

$$\operatorname{Tor} H^4(M) \cong \operatorname{Tor} N_+^*/N'_- \oplus \operatorname{Tor} N_-^*/N'_+. \tag{2.7}$$

Proposition 2.11 ([4, Proposition 4.20/Remark 4.21])**.**

$$p_M = -Y(c_2(Z_+), c_2(Z_-)).$$

Combining the previous two results, the greatest divisor $d(M)$ of p_M can be determined from $c_2(Z_\pm) \in H^4(Z_\pm)$ (which depends purely on the blocks) and $N'_\mp \subset H^4(Z_\pm)$ (which depends on the matching).

3. The generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant of twisted connected sums

The main result of this section is Theorem 3.4, which gives a formula for the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu(M)$ of a twisted connected sum M in terms of data for the pair of building blocks used. We compute $\mu(M)$ using explicit spin^c coboundaries.

Throughout this section, let M be the twisted connected sum of a pair of building blocks $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$, using a matching $\mathtt{r}\colon \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$. We assume for simplicity that the kernel of $H^2(Z_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$ is generated by $[\Sigma_\pm]$.

As in Definition 2.6, we use the tubular neighbourhood $U_\pm \cong \Sigma_\pm \times \Delta \subset Z_\pm$ of $\Sigma_\pm$; denote the coordinate on the unit disc Δ by z. Consider $B_\pm := Z_\pm \times \Delta$, and denote the coordinate on its unit disc factor by w. Form an 8-manifold $\mathring{W}$ by gluing B_+ and B_- along $U_\pm \times \Delta$, using the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_+ \times \Delta \times \Delta &\to \Sigma_- \times \Delta \times \Delta, \\ (x, z, w) &\mapsto (\mathtt{r}(x), w, z). \end{aligned}$$

We can form a smooth compact manifold W with boundary by attaching to $\mathring{W}$ the result of gluing the boundaries $\Sigma_\pm \times \partial\overline{\Delta} \times \partial\overline{\Delta}$ of $(Z_\pm \setminus U_\pm) \times \partial\overline{\Delta}$ by $(x, z, w) \mapsto (\mathtt{r}(x), w, z)$ — which is precisely the twisted connected sum M. Hence W is a coboundary of M.

Since $Z_\pm$ are not spin, nor are $B_\pm$ or W. However, they are all spin^c.

3.1. **Cohomology.** We compute the integral cohomology of W by Mayer-Vietoris. More precisely, we compute $H^k(\mathring{W}) \cong H^k(W)$ and $H^k_{cpt}(\mathring{W}) \cong H^k(W, M)$ for $k \leq 4$. One can of course recover the remaining cohomology groups from Poincaré duality and universal coefficients, but what we care about is a description for $k \leq 4$ that lets us determine the characteristic classes and the intersection form.

Obviously $B_+ \cap B_- \simeq \Sigma$ and $B_\pm \simeq Z_\pm$, so there is a long exact sequence

$$H^{k-1}(\Sigma) \to H^k(W) \to H^k(Z_+) \oplus H^k(Z_-) \to H^k(\Sigma).$$

Hence, using that $H^2(Z_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma) = L$ has image $N_\pm$ by definition and is assumed to have kernel $\mathbb{Z}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1(W) = 0 && H^2(W) \cong (N_+ \cap N_-) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ &H^3(W) \cong L/(N_+ + N_-) \oplus H^3(Z_+) \oplus H^3(Z_-) && H^4(W) \cong H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-). \end{aligned}$$

where $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$ is defined as in (2.4). There is a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to N_+^* \oplus N_-^* \to H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We can describe the isomorphism $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \to H^4(W)$ as follows: given $(c_+, c_-) \in H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$, choose a cocycle $\alpha_0 \in C^4(\Sigma)$ representing the common image of $c_\pm$ in $H^4(\Sigma)$, let $\alpha_\pm \in C^4(Z_\pm)$ be cocycles representing $c_\pm$ that equal the pull-back of α_0 on $D_\pm \times \Delta$, and form a cocycle on W by patching the pull-backs of $\alpha_\pm$ to $B_\pm$. It is clear that the composition of this isomorphism with the restriction map $H^4(W) \to H^4(M)$ equals the map Y defined in (2.5).

Remark 3.1. Only the isomorphism for $H^3(W)$ involves making an arbitrary choice for a splitting of a short exact sequence – below it will turn out be important that the isomorphisms for $H^2(W)$ and $H^4(W)$ are natural.

Similarly, we can compute $H^*(W, M)$ from a long exact sequence

$$H^{k-4}(\Sigma) \to H^{k-2}(Z_+) \oplus H^{k-2}(Z_-) \to H^k(W, M) \to H^{k-3}(\Sigma),$$

finding

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(W, M) &= 0 & H^2(W, M) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ H^3(W, M) &= 0 & H^4(W, M) &\cong (H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Note there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to (H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z} \to N_+ \oplus N_- \to 0.$$

3.2. **Characteristic classes.** As emphasised in Remark 3.1, the isomorphisms for $H^2(W)$ and $H^4(W)$ above are natural. One consequence of this is that we can pin down the characteristic classes of W by considering their restrictions to the open subsets B_+, B_-.

The restriction of $w_2(W) \in H^2(W)$ to $B_\pm$ is the pull-back of the Poincaré dual of $\Sigma_\pm$ in $Z_\pm$. The spin^c structures of $B_\pm$ patch up to a unique spin^c structure on W: this is essentially just saying that we can specify an integral pre-image $z \in H^2(W)$ of $w_2(W)$ uniquely by setting the restriction to $B_\pm$ to be $c_1(Z_\pm)$. Note that the restriction of z^2 to each of $B_\pm$ is 0; hence

$$z^2 = 0 \in H^4(W).$$

Similarly we can pin down the spin^c characteristic class $\widehat{p}_W$. The restriction to $B_\pm$ is $-c_2 + c_1^2$ of the relevant $U(4)$-structure, so the image of $\widehat{p}_W$ in $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$ is $(-c_2(Z_+), -c_2(Z_-))$. The restriction of $\widehat{p}_W$ to M is p_M, which recovers Proposition 2.11, and arguably makes for a nicer proof than that in [4, Proposition 4.20].

The image of $\widehat{p}_W$ in $H^4(\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is $p_\Sigma = -c_2(\Sigma) = -24$, so in view of the short exact sequence (3.1) the interesting information about $\widehat{p}_W$ is the pre-image of $\widehat{p}_W$ mod 24 in $(N_+^* \oplus N_-^*) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/24$.

Similarly, for any building block Z, the image of $c_2(Z)$ under $H^4(Z) \to H^4(\Sigma)$ is 24, so $c_2(Z)$ mod 24 has a preimage in $H^4(Z, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/24) \cong N^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/24$. Denote that by

$$\bar{c}_2(Z) \in N^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/24. \tag{3.2}$$

The calculation above implies that $\widehat{p}_W$ mod 24 is determined by $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)$.

Lemma 3.2. $\widehat{p}_W$ mod 24 *is the image of* $(-\bar{c}_2(Z_+), -\bar{c}_2(Z_-))$.

Remark 3.3. Since $c_2(Z_\pm)$ are even, so is $\widehat{p}_W$. Therefore Lemma 2.1(iii) implies that the intersection form of W must be even.

3.3. **Intersection form.** The intersection pairing between $H^4(W)$ and $H^4(W, M)$ is simply the natural duality between and $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$ and $(H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z}$. To understand the intersection form we also need to describe the map $H^4(W, M) \to H^4(W)$.

First note that the $\mathbb{Z}$ term in $H^4(W, M) \cong (H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z}$ is the Poincaré dual to the K3 divisors, and obviously has trivial image in $H^4(W)$. Hence $H^4(W, M) \to H^4(W)$ factors through the natural map $(H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z} \to N_+ \oplus N_-$. Dually, the composition $H^4(W) \cong H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \to \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to restriction to the K3 divisor, which is trivial for any class with compact support in $\mathring{W}$. So the map also factors through the inclusion $N_+^* \oplus N_-^* \hookrightarrow H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$, and is characterised by a homomorphism $N_+ \oplus N_- \to N_+^* \oplus N_-^*$.

The intersection form on each $B_\pm$ is obviously trivial, so there are no diagonal terms. We end up with

$$\begin{aligned} N_+ \oplus N_- &\to N_+^* \oplus N_-^* \\ (x_+,\ x_-) &\mapsto (\flat^+(x_-),\ \flat^-(x_+)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\flat^\pm$ is the inner product homomorphism as in (2.6). The image equals $N'_- \oplus N'_+ \subset N_+^* \oplus N_-^*$, where $N'_\mp$ is the image of $\flat^\pm : N_\mp \to N_\pm^*$ as before. (This is consistent with the claim from Lemma 2.9 that the kernel of Y is precisely $N'_- \oplus N'_+$, and also with Remark 3.3.) Both summands of $N'_- \oplus N'_+$ are isotropic, so the signature of W equals 0.

3.4. **Computing the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant.** Suppose that the twisted connected sum M has $H^4(M)$ torsion-free, so that the description of the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant from §2.2 is valid. Let d denote the greatest integer dividing the spin characteristic class $p_M \in H^4(M)$. Since M contains a K3 with trivial normal bundle, $d \mid 24$ a priori. Hence $\widehat{d} := \gcd(28, \mathrm{Num}\left(\frac{d}{4}\right))$ is either 1 or 2, depending on whether d is divisible by 8 or not. In particular $\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\widehat{d}$ can only possibly be non-trivial if d is 8 or 24.

The z^4 term in (2.1) obviously makes no contribution since $z^2 = 0$, and the signature term vanishes. We can take $\bar{z}$ to be the sum of the generators of $H^2_{cpt}(\Delta) \subset H^2_{cpt}(B_\pm)$, or equivalently the Poincaré duals of $Z_\pm \times \{0\}$. Thus $\bar{z}^2$ is twice the Poincaré dual to the K3 divisor (generator for the copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $(H^2(Z_+) \oplus H^2(Z_-))/\mathbb{Z}$). Since the K3 divisor has trivial normal bundle, $\bar{z}^2\widehat{p}_W = 2p_\Sigma = -48$, and $\frac{5\bar{z}^2\widehat{p}_W}{12}$ is divisible by 4. Since the modulus $\widehat{d}$ is 1 or 2, the only possible non-trivial contribution to the RHS of (2.1) is $\frac{\widehat{\alpha}^2}{8}$.

The definition of d means that $\widehat{p}_W \in N'_- \oplus N'_+ \bmod d$. By Lemma 3.2, we can determine the elements $[x_\pm] \in N_\pm \otimes \mathbb{Z}/d$ such that $\widehat{p}_W = (\flat^+([x_-]), \flat^-([x_+])) \bmod d$ from $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)$ and the configuration of embeddings $N_\pm \subset L$ of the matching. We may then take

$$\widehat{\alpha} = (\flat^+(x_-), \flat^-(x_+)) \in N'_- \oplus N'_+.$$

The pre-image under (3.3) of this $\widehat{\alpha}$ in $N_+ \oplus N_-$ is $(x_+,\ x_-)$, so $\widehat{\alpha}^2 = 2x_+.x_-$. Hence

Theorem 3.4. *Let $x_\pm \in N_\pm$ such that $\flat^\mp(x_\pm) = \bar{c}_2(Z_\mp) \bmod d$. Then*

$$\mu(M) \ = \ \frac{x_+.x_-}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}/\widehat{d}. \tag{3.4}$$

$x_\pm$ must be even because $c_2(Z_\pm)$ is, so the RHS is indeed integral.

If $N_+ \perp N_-$, *i.e.* if the matching used is perpendicular, then d is the greatest common divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_+)$ and $\bar{c}_2(Z_-)$, so we can trivially take $x_\pm = 0$. Indeed, $H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-) \hookrightarrow H^4(M)$, so since there is no torsion in $H^3(Z_\pm)$, there is a well-defined $n := \frac{1}{d}\widehat{p}_W \in H^4(Z_+) \oplus_0 H^4(Z_-)$, and that choice gives $\widehat{\alpha} = 0$.

Corollary 3.5. *$\mu(M) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums obtained by perpendicular matching.*

But if neither of $c_2(Z_\pm)$ are divisible by 4, then $x_+.x_-$ can be 4 mod 8. For non-perpendicular matchings that can happen even when d is divisible by 8, in which case $\mu(M)$ is non-zero.

3.5. **Quadratic refinement of the torsion linking form.** Although in this paper we only consider examples of twisted connected sums with no torsion in $H^4(M)$, let us briefly use the coboundary W to analyse in general the torsion linking form of $H^4(M)$ and its quadratic refinement (*cf.* [6, Definition 2.15]).

Since the building blocks $Z_\pm$ are assumed to have no torsion in $H^3(Z_\pm)$, W resolves all the torsion in $H^4(M)$. [4, Remark 4.12] claims that the two summands $\mathrm{Tor}\, N^*_\pm/N'_\mp$ of $\mathrm{Tor}\, H^4(M)$ in (2.7) are isotropic for the torsion linking form, and naturally dual. This can be checked by considering cocycles representing the classes, but it is nicer to do it by computing cup products on W. Indeed, this way we can also compute the quadratic refinement of the linking form. Because $\widehat{p}_W$ is even by Remark 3.3, we can characterise the quadratic linking family on $\mathrm{Tor}\, H^4(M)$ as follows: it assigns to the image in $H^4(M)$ of (the unique) $\frac{1}{2}\widehat{p}_W$ the discriminant form q of the even lattice $H^4(W, M)/\mathrm{rad}$.

Proposition 3.6. *$\mathrm{Tor}\, N^*_\pm/N'_\mp \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}\, H^4(M)$ is isotropic for the torsion linking form, and Lagrangian for q.*

Proof. We can write any $c \in \mathrm{Tor}\,\mathrm{Tor}\, N^*_\pm/N'_\mp \subset H^4(M)$ as the restriction of an element of the form $(\alpha, 0) \in N^*_+ \oplus N^*_- \subset H^4(W)$, where α is in the rational span of N'_-. Then $(\alpha, 0)$ is the image under (3.3) of some $(0, y) \in (N_+ \oplus N_-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This pairs trivially with $(\alpha, 0)$, so $q(c) = 0$. □

4. Fano-type blocks

We now describe how to construct building blocks for the twisted connected sums from closed Fano 3-folds, and list detailed data for blocks obtained from Fanos of Picard rank 1 or 2.

4.1. **Construction of building blocks from Fanos.** Recall that a Fano 3-fold is a smooth closed complex 3-fold Y such that $-K_Y$ is ample, or equivalently, $c_1(Y)$ can be represented by a Kähler class. Any Fano 3-fold is simply connected, so $\operatorname{Pic} Y \cong H^2(Y)$. Together with a natural form, this is a key deformation invariant of Y.

Definition 4.1. The *Picard lattice* of a Fano 3-fold Y is $N := H^2(Y)$ equipped with the quadratic form $(D_1, D_2) \mapsto D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdot (-K_Y)$ (where $\cdot$ is the cup product on $H^2(Y)$).

There are 105 deformation types of Fano 3-folds. Except for two of those, any Fano 3-fold Y has a pencil in $|-K_Y|$ with smooth base locus C.

Proposition 4.2 ([3, Propositions 4.24 and 5.7], *cf.* [4, Proposition 3.17])**.** *The blow-up Z of Y at the smooth base locus C of an anticanonical pencil, together with the proper transform Σ of a divisor in said pencil, is a building block. Further*

(i) *The image of $H^2(Z) \to H^2(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to $H^2(Y)$, and the kernel is generated by $[\Sigma]$.*
(ii) *The image in $H^{1,1}(\Sigma)$ of the Kähler cone of Z contains the image of the Kähler cone of Y.*

We call such (Z, Σ) *Fano-type* blocks. Some of the important data of the block can be read off immediately from well-known data about Y. For instance (i) implies that the polarising lattice of the block is isometric to the Picard lattice of Y, and (*cf.* [3, Lemma 5.6])

$$b_3(Z) = -K_Y^3 + b_3(Y) + 2. \tag{4.1}$$

In view of Lemma 3.2, the interesting characteristic class information about Z is $\bar{c}_2(Z) \in N^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/24$. It is explained before Lemma 5.18 of [3] that $c_2(Z) = g(c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2)) + 24[\mathbb{P}^1]$, where $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a fibre in the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $Z \to Y$, and g is the composition of the isomorphisms $H^4(Y) \cong N^* \cong H^4(Z, \Sigma)$. Thus we can think of $\bar{c}_2(Z) \in N^* \otimes 24$ simply as

$$\bar{c}_2(Z) = c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 \mod 24. \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.3. A *matching* of a pair of Fano 3-folds $Y_\pm$ is a diffeomorphism $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ of smooth K3 divisors $\Sigma_\pm \in |-K_{Y_\pm}|$ that is a hyper-Kähler rotation (in the sense of Definition 2.4) of some hyper-Kähler triples $(\omega_\pm^I, \omega_\pm^J, \omega_\pm^K)$ on $\Sigma_\pm$ such that $\omega_\pm^I$ is the restriction of a Kähler form on $Y_\pm$.

Say that $\mathtt{r}$ is a *perpendicular* matching if the subspaces $\mathtt{r}^* H^2(Y_-)$ and $H^2(Y_+)$ of $H^2(\Sigma_+)$ are perpendicular with respect to the intersection form on $H^2(\Sigma_+)$.

Proposition 4.2(ii) immediately implies that a matching of Fano 3-folds gives rise to a matching (in the sense of Definition 2.5) of the resulting Fano-type blocks. We use the phrase *twisted connected sum of Fanos* (*e.g.* in the introduction) to mean the twisted connected sum that arises from such a matching of Fano-type blocks.

4.2. **Rank 1 blocks.** Table 1 summarises the key data of Fano 3-folds of rank 1 and the resulting building blocks (*cf.* [3, Table 1]). The data included is the index r (*i.e.* the largest integer such that $-K_Y = rH$ for some $H \in \operatorname{Pic} Y$), the anticanonical degree $-K_Y^3$, $b_3(Y)$, $b_3(Z)$, and the evaluation of $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ (the mod 24 reduction of $c_2(Z)$) on the positive generator $H \in \operatorname{Pic} Y$.

$b_3(Z)$ is simply obtained from the preceding data by (4.1). In the rank 1 case, $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ is also easily determined as follows: For any Fano one has $c_2(Y)(-K_Y) = 24$, so if $-K_Y = rH$ then (4.2) implies that

$$\bar{c}_2(Z)H = \frac{24 - K_Y^3}{r} \mod 24. \tag{4.3}$$

The self-intersection of the generator of N (which is not mentioned in the table) is simply $\frac{-K_Y^3}{r^2}$.

Y	r	$-K_Y^3$	$b_3(Y)$	$b_3(Z)$	$\bar{c}_2(Z)$
$\mathbb{P}^3$	4	4^3	0	66	22
Q	3	$3^3 \cdot 2$	0	56	2
V_1	2	2^3	42	52	16
V_2	2	$2^3 \cdot 2$	20	38	20
V_3	2	$2^3 \cdot 3$	10	36	24
V_4	2	$2^3 \cdot 4$	4	38	4
V_5	2	$2^3 \cdot 5$	0	42	8
	1	2	104	108	2
	1	4	60	66	4
	1	6	40	48	6
	1	8	28	38	8
	1	10	20	32	10
	1	12	14	28	12
	1	14	10	26	14
	1	16	6	24	16
	1	18	4	24	18
	1	22	0	24	22

TABLE 1. Rank 1 Fano blocks

4.3. **The table of rank 2 Fano blocks.** In Table 2 we collect the following data for building blocks obtained from rank 2 Fanos Y.

- The number of the corresponding entry in the Mori-Mukai list of rank 2 Fanos.
- The anticanonical degree $-K_Y^3$ of the Fano Y.
- The quadratic form of the Picard lattice N presented with respect to a basis G, H that spans the nef cone. (We do not know a general reason for the extremal rays should generate all of N and not just a finite index sublattice, but it does for all entries in the list.)
- The absolute value Δ of the discriminant of the quadratic form on N.
- The anticanonical class $-K_Y$ in terms of the basis G, H.
- The element $\bar{c}_2(Z) \in N^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/24$ defined in (3.2), presented in the dual basis of G, H.
- The third Betti number $b_3(Y)$ of the Fano.
- The third Betti number $b_3(Z)$ of the resulting block.

The final three columns include data relevant for non-perpendicular matching, about $A \in N$ such that A^2 is not too large compared with Δ. In view of Lemmas 5.8 and 5.11, non-perpendicular matchings of a pair of rank 2 Fanos Y_+, Y_- are only possible if

$$A_+^2 A_-^2 \leq \Delta_+ \Delta_-. \tag{4.4}$$

The largest ratio $\frac{\Delta}{A^2}$ occurs for #18, which has $\Delta = 16$ and an ample A with $A^2 = 10$. Accordingly, we list all ample classes with $A^2 \leq 1.6\Delta$. (In some examples there is more than one such class. We do not write out A itself in terms of the basis G, H, but there is never any ambiguity.) For each such A we list the following data.

- The result of evaluating $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ on A.
- A^2, the product of A with itself in the Picard lattice.
- B^2, where B is a generator for the orthogonal complement to A in the Picard lattice.
- $h := \log_2 \frac{\Delta}{A^2}$.

4.4. **Annotated Mori-Mukai list of rank 2 Fanos.** We now indicate how the data in Table 2 is assembled. The anticanonical degree $-K_Y^3$ and $b_3(Y)$ are taken from Iskovskih-Prokhorov [9, Table 12.3], and $b_3(Z)$ is obtained from (4.1) as before. For the computation of the basis of the

MM#	$-K_Y^3$	N	Δ	$-K_Y$	$\bar{c}_2(Z)$	$b_3(Y)$	$b_3(Z)$	$\bar{c}_2(Z)A$	A^2	B^2	h
1	4	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&1\\1&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	1	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$*$	44	$*$				
2	6	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&2\\2&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	4	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 18)$	40	48	6	6	-6	-0.58
3	8	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&2\\2&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	4	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 20)$	22	32				
4	10	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&3\\3&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	9	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 22)$	20	32	10	10	-90	-0.15
5	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&3\\3&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	9	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 0)$	12	26	12	12	-12	-0.42
6	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&4\\4&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 18)$	18	32	12	12	-4	0
7	14	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&4\\4&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 2)$	10	26	14	14	-56	0.19
8	14	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&4\\4&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	8	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 20)$	18	34				
9	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	17	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 22)$	10	28	16	16	-272	0.09
10	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&4\\4&8\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 4)$	6	24	16	16	-16	0
								4	24	-24	-0.58
11	18	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	13	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 0)$	10	30	18	18	-234	-0.47
12	20	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}4&6\\6&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	20	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(22\ 22)$	6	28	20	20	-4	0
13	20	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&6\\6&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	24	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 2)$	4	26	20	20	-30	0.26
14	20	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&5\\5&10\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	25	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 8)$	2	24	20	20	-20	0.32
								8	30	-30	-0.26
								20	40	-40	-0.68
15	22	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}6&6\\6&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(0\ 22)$	8	32				
16	22	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&6\\6&8\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	20	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 4)$	4	28	22	22	-110	-0.14
17	24	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}4&7\\7&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	25	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(22\ 2)$	2	28	24	24	-600	0.06
18	24	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&4\\4&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 18)$	4	30	6	10	-40	0.68
								18	18	-72	-0.17
								24	24	-6	-0.58
19	26	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}4&7\\7&8\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	17	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(22\ 4)$	4	32	2	26	-442	-0.61
20	26	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&7\\7&10\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	29	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 8)$	0	28	2	26	-754	0.16
21	28	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}6&8\\8&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	28	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(2\ 2)$	0	30	4	28	-4	0
22	30	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}4&8\\8&10\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	24	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(22\ 8)$	0	32	6	30	-20	-0.32
23	30	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}8&8\\8&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(4\ 2)$	2	34				
24	30	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	21	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 18)$	0	32	12	14	-6	0.58
								6	30	-70	-0.51
25	32	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&4\\4&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 22)$	1	34	10	12	-12	0.42
								22	20	-20	-0.32
26	34	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}6&9\\9&10\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	21	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(2\ 8)$	0	36				
27	38	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	17	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 22)$	0	40	16	16	-272	0.09
28	40	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}18&9\\9&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	9	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 22)$	2	44				
29	40	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&4\\4&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	16	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 2)$	0	42	14	14	-56	0.19
30	46	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}6&6\\6&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(2\ 22)$	0	48				
31	46	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&6\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	13	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 2)$	0	48	20	18	-234	-0.47
32	48	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&4\\4&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	12	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 18)$	0	50	12	12	-4	0
33	54	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&3\\3&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	9	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\3\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 22)$	0	56	10	10	-90	-0.15
34	54	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&3\\3&2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	9	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2\\3\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(12\ 18)$	0	56	6	8	-72	0.17
								18	14	-126	-0.64
35	56	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&4\\4&4\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	8	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 22)$	0	58				
36	62	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}2&5\\5&10\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	5	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$(18\ 10)$	0	64				

TABLE 2. Rank 2 Fano blocks

nef cone, the quadratic form on the Picard lattice N and $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ we divide the list (except the last two entries) into three groups.

No fewer than 27 of the 36 classes of Fanos are described explicitly as blow-ups of a rank 1 Fano Y_0 of index $r \geq 2$ in a smooth curve. Let n be the smallest integer such that C is cut out by divisors in $|-\frac{n}{r}K_{Y_0}|$ (always $1 \leq n \leq r-1$). Let E denote the exceptional divisor. Then we can take $G = \pi^*(-\frac{n}{r}K_{Y_0}) - E$ and $H := \pi^*(-\frac{1}{r}K_{Y_0})$ as the generators for the nef cone of Y, *i.e.* G is the proper transform of a divisor in $|-\frac{n}{r}K_{Y_0}|$ that contains C, and H is the pull-back of the hyperplane bundle $-\frac{1}{r}K_{Y_0}$. The quadratic form on N can be computed from

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= (-K_{Y_0})^3, \\ (nH-G).H &= \deg C, \\ (nH-G)^2 &= -\chi(C) \end{aligned}$$

We can apply (4.3) to read off $c_2(Z)(-K_Y)$ from the other data in the table. To control the other half of $\bar{c}_2(Z)$, note that $c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2$ evaluated on $\pi^*(-K_{Y_0})$ equals $c_2(Y_0) + c_1(Y_0)^2$ evaluated on $-K_{Y_0}$. We can thus apply (4.3) again to obtain

$$\bar{c}_2(Z)H = \frac{24 - K_{Y_0}^3}{r_0} \tag{4.5}$$

(and the RHS is contained in Table 1).

Another four entries in the list are divisors in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (including $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$). Then we can take the basis G, H of N to correspond to the restrictions of the hyperplane bundles from the two factors. For a divisor $Y \in |aG + bH|$, we can readily compute the quadratic form on N from $-K_Y = (3-a)G + (3-b)H$. Further, $c(Y) = (1 + 3G + 3G^2)(1 + 3H + 3H^2)(1 + [Y])^{-1}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 &= 3G^2 + 9HG + 3G^2 - (3G + 3H)[Y] + [Y]^2 + (3G + 3H - [Y])^2 \\ &= 12G^2 + 27HG + 12H^2 - (9G + 9H)[Y] + 2[Y]^2. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate it on G we compute

$$(c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2)[Y]G = 3((2a-3)(b-1)(b-2) + 6)G^2H^2, \tag{4.6}$$

and the evaluation on H is analogous.

Another 3 cases are branched double covers over other rank 2 Fanos, $\pi : Y \to X$. Then we can take G and H to be pull-backs of the basis for $\operatorname{Pic} X$. If the branch locus is a smooth divisor in $|2L|$, then $TY \oplus \pi^*(2L) \cong \pi^*(TX \oplus L)$ implies $c_1(Y) = \pi^*(c_1(X) - [L])$ and $c_2(Y) = \pi^*(c_2(X) - c_1(X)[L] + 2[L]^2)$, so

$$c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 = \pi^*\left(c_2(X) + c_1(X)^2 + 3[L]([L] - c_1(X))\right). \tag{4.7}$$

For each entry Y in the Mori-Mukai list, we repeat below the description from [9, Table 12.3]. For blow-ups of rank 1 Fanos in a smooth curve C we only indicate in addition the smallest integer n such that C is cut out by sections of $|-\frac{n}{r}K_{Y_0}|$. For the remaining 9 cases we provide some additional explanation.

#1 Blow-up of V_1 (degree 1 del Pezzo 3-fold, degree 6 hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4(3,2,1,1,1)$) in an elliptic curve that is the intersection of two divisors in $|-\frac{1}{2}K_{V_1}|$ (*i.e.* the hyperplane class). $n = 1$.

This is the only rank 2 Fano where the linear system $|-K_Y|$ is not free; the base locus is the pre-image $\mathbb{P}^1$ over the base point of $|-\frac{1}{2}K_{V_1}|$. It is therefore the only case where we cannot apply Proposition 4.2 to construct an associated "Fano-type" building block Z (though one could define a building block by blowing up in several steps [3, Proposition 5.9]).

#2 Double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ branched over $(2,4)$ divisor. G and H are the pull-backs of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ respectively. Use (4.7) (and result from #34) to compute

$$c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 = 18GH + 12H^2 + 3(G + 2H)(-G - H) = 9GH + 6H^2,$$

and hence $\bar{c}_2(Z) = (12\ 18)$.

#3 Blow-up of V_2 (degree 2 del Pezzo 3-fold, a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched over a quartic hypersurface, or equivalently a degree 4 hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^3(2,1,1,1)$) in an elliptic curve that is the intersection of two hyperplane divisors. $n = 1$.

#4 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along the intersection of two cubic hypersurfaces. $n = 3$.

#5 Blow-up of V_3 (cubic hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^4$) along the intersection of two hyperplane divisors (a plane cubic curve). $n = 1$.

#6 (2,2) divisor in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, or double cover of W ($(1,1)$ divisor in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$) branched over smooth divisor $B \in |-K_W|$. G and H are pull-backs of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ from the two $\mathbb{P}^2$ factors, and $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ is computed from (4.6).

#7 Blow-up of Q (quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$) in intersection of two sections by quadrics. $n = 2$.

#8 Double cover of V_7 ($\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in a point, #35) whose branch locus is a divisor $B \in |-K_{V_7}|$ such that the intersection $B \cap E$ with the exceptional divisor of $V_7 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is smooth, or reduced but not smooth. G and H are the pull-backs of the respective classes on V_7. By (4.7) we have $c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 = \pi^*(c_2(V_7) + c_1(V_7)^2 - 3(-\frac{1}{2}K_{V_7})^2$. We compute in #35 that $c_2(V_7) + c_1(V_7)^2 = (18\ 22)$ in terms of the basis for V_7, and we can read off from the Picard lattice that $(-\frac{1}{2}K_{V_7})^2 = (3\ 4)$. Hence $c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 = \pi^*(9\ 10)$, which in terms of the basis for $\operatorname{Pic} Y$ is written as $(18\ 20)$.

#9 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in a curve C of degree 7 and genus 5, which is an intersection of a two-parameter family of cubic hypersurfaces. $n = 3$.

#10 Blow-up of V_4 (complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$) in an elliptic curve that is the intersection of two hyperplane sections. $n = 1$.

#11 Blow-up of V_3 (cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$) along a line. $n = 1$.

#12 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a curve of degree 6 and genus 3 which is an intersection of cubic hypersurfaces. $n = 3$.

#13 Blow-up of Q (quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$) along a curve of degree 6 and genus 2. $n = 2$.

#14 Blow-up of V_5 (section of Plücker-embedded Grassmannian $Gr(2,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a subspace of codimension 3) in elliptic curve that is the intersection of two hyperplane sections. $n = 1$.

#15 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along the intersection of a quadric A and a cubic B such that A is smooth, or A is reduced but not smooth. $n = 3$.

#16 Blow-up of V_4 (complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$) in a conic. $n = 1$.

#17 Blow-up of Q along an elliptic curve of degree 5. $n = 2$.

#18 Double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ branched over $(2,2)$ divisor. Compute $\bar{c}_2(Z) = (12, 18)$ using (4.7) like in #2.

#19 Blow-up of V_4 along a line. $n = 1$.

#20 Blow-up of V_5 along a twisted cubic. $n = 1$.

#21 Blow-up of Q along a twisted quartic (a rational degree 4 curve, isomorphic to the image of $(s : t) \mapsto (s^4 : s^3t : s^2t^2 : st^3 : t^4)$). $n = 2$.

#22 Blow-up of V_5 along a conic. $n = 1$.

#23 Blow-up of Q along an intersection of two divisors $A \in |\mathcal{O}_Q(1)|$ and $B \in |\mathcal{O}_Q(2)|$ (A may be smooth or singular). $n = 2$.

#24 A $(1,2)$ divisor in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Compute $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ by applying (4.6) with $a = 1, b = 2$.

#25 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along an elliptic curve that is the intersection of two quadrics. $n = 2$.

#26 Blow-up of V_5 along a line. $n = 1$.

#27 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a twisted cubic. $n = 2$.

#28 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a plane cubic (an elliptic curve). $n = 3$.

#29 Blow-up of Q along a conic (complete intersection of two hyperplane sections). $n = 1$.

#30 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a conic. $n = 2$.

#31 Blow-up of Q along a line. $n = 1$.

#32 A $(1,1)$-divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Compute $\bar{c}_2(Z)$ by applying (4.6) with $a = b = 1$.

#33 Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along a line. $n = 1$.

#34 $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. $G = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Compute $\bar{c}_2(Z) = (12\ 18)$ by applying (4.6) with $a = 1$, $b = 0$.

#35 $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in one point, or equivalently $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ over $\mathbb{P}^2$. G is the proper transform of a plane passing through the blow-up point, and H is a plane not passing through the blow-up point. $c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2$ evaluates to 22 on H, just as it does on a plane in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

#36 $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ over $\mathbb{P}^2$. G is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, while H is the dual of the tautological bundle. The intersection form on $H^2(Y)$ is given by $G^3 = 0$, $G^2H = 1$, $GH^2 = 2$, $H^3 = 4$ (use that the section $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(2))$ is a divisor representing H). Because TY is stably isomorphic to $\pi^*T\mathbb{P}^2 \oplus (H \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)))$, find that $c(Y) = (1 + 3G + 3G^2)(1 + H)(1 - 2G + H)$, so $c_1(Y) = G + 2H$ and $c_2(Y) = -3G^2 + 4GH + T^2$. Hence $c_2(Y) + c_1(Y)^2 = -2G^2 + 8GH + 5H^2$, which evaluates to 18 on G and 34 on H, so $\bar{c}_2(Z) = (18\ 10)$.

5. Twisted connected sums of Fanos of rank 1 and 2

Combining the results described in §2.3 and §4.1, we can produce twisted connected sum G_2-manifolds from matching pairs of Fano 3-folds. We now apply the methods developed in [4] to the problem of *finding* matchings between Fanos of rank 1 and 2. While it is easy to find perpendicular matchings, we also investigate systematically the possible non-perpendicular matchings in order to exhibit examples with non-zero Eells-Kuiper invariant, and to prove Theorem 1.1.

5.1. **The matching problem.** Let us first summarise the results from [4, §6] concerning how to reduce the problem of finding matchings between Fano 3-folds to a combination of problems in lattice arithmetic and deformation theory.

Let $\Sigma_\pm \subset Y_\pm$ be smooth anticanonical divisors of a pair of Fanos, and $\mathrm{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ a matching. Let h_+ be a *marking* of Σ_+, *i.e.* an isometry $h_+ : H^2(\Sigma_+) \to L$ where L is an abstract copy of the K3 lattice (the unique unimodular lattice of signature (3,19)). Then $h_- := h_+ \circ \mathrm{r}^*$ is a marking of Σ_-. The images of $H^2(Y_\pm) \subset H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$ under $h_\pm$ are a pair of primitive sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$, isometric to the Picard lattices. This pair is well-defined up to the action of the isometry group $O(L)$. Let us call it the *configuration* of r.

We saw in §2.4 that the homeomorphism invariants of the twisted connected sum M resulting from the matching depend on the configuration (*e.g.* $H^2(M) = N_+ \cap N_-$), and in Theorem 3.4 that the generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu(M)$ does too. We therefore ask:

Given a pair $\mathcal{Y}_+$, $\mathcal{Y}_-$ of deformation types of Fano 3-folds, which configurations of embeddings $N_\pm \subset L$ of their Picard lattices arise from some matching of elements of $\mathcal{Y}_+$ and $\mathcal{Y}_-$?

We see below that it is not too hard to answer this when one of the types has Picard rank 1, and we will be able to say quite a lot when both types have Picard rank 2. In general the question is quite difficult, but in any case a first step in simplifying it is to rephrase it as a problem of finding suitable triples of classes in $L(\mathbb{R}) := L \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Recall that the *period* of a marked K3 surface (Σ, h) is an oriented two-plane $\Pi \subset L(\mathbb{R})$, the image under $h : H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}) \to L(\mathbb{R})$ of the real and imaginary parts of classes in $H^{2,0}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $Y_\pm$ be a pair of Fano 3-folds, and let $N_\pm \subset L$ be the images of primitive isometric embeddings of the respective Picard lattices. Then the pair (N_+, N_-) is the configuration of some matching of Y_+ and Y_- if and only if there exist*

- *an orthonormal triple (k_+, k_-, k_0) of positive classes in $L(\mathbb{R})$*
- *anticanonical divisors $\Sigma_\pm \subset Y_\pm$*
- *markings $h_\pm$ of $\Sigma_\pm$*

such that the oriented plane $\langle k_\mp, \pm k_0 \rangle$ is the period of $(\Sigma_\pm, h_\pm)$, $h_\pm^{-1}(k_\pm)$ is the restriction of a Kähler class on $Y_\pm$, and $N_\pm$ is the image of the composition $H^2(Y_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma_\pm) \to L$.

Proof. Necessity is trivial, setting $k_\pm = h_\pm[\omega_\pm^I] = h_\mp[\omega_\mp^J]$ and $k_0 = \pm h_\pm[\omega_\pm^K]$ for the hyper-Kähler triples appearing in Definition 4.3. Sufficiency relies on the Torelli theorem, *cf.* [4, Proposition 6.2]. □

Let us now consider how this problem depends on the choice of configuration. We first set up some notation for various lattices. Let

- $W := N_+ + N_-$ (this need not be primitive in L),
- $T_\pm \subset L$ the perpendicular of $N_\pm$,
- $T := T_+ \cap T_-$, or equivalently the perpendicular of W,
- $W_\pm := T_\mp \cap N_\pm$, and
- $\Lambda_\pm \subset L$ the perpendicular to $T \oplus W_\mp$, or equivalently the perpendicular to $W_\mp$ in the primitive overlattice of W.

Remark 5.2. $N_\pm \subseteq \Lambda_\pm$, with equality if and only if N_+ and N_- "intersect orthogonally", *i.e.* when $W(\mathbb{R}) = W_+(\mathbb{R}) \oplus W_-(\mathbb{R}) \oplus (N_+(\mathbb{R}) \cap N_-(\mathbb{R}))$, or equivalently, when reflections of $L(\mathbb{R})$ in the subspaces $N_+(\mathbb{R})$ and $N_-(\mathbb{R})$ commute.

Note that we must obviously have $k_\pm \in N_\pm(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, $N_\pm$ is contained in the Picard group of the marked K3 $(\Sigma_\pm, h_\pm)$, which is the subgroup of L orthogonal to the period; the marked K3 is automatically $N_\pm$*-polarised*. Thus $k_\mp$ and k_0 must both lie in $T_\pm(\mathbb{R})$. Hence

$$k_\pm \in W_\pm(\mathbb{R}), \quad k_0 \in T(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.1}$$

Now we come to the heart of how the difficulty of the matching problem depends on the configuration one tries to achieve: (5.1) implies that the period $\langle k_\mp, \pm k_0\rangle$ is orthogonal to all of $\Lambda_\pm$, so *the marked K3 divisors used in a matching with the given configuration are forced to be* $\Lambda_\pm$*-polarised*.

The significance is that the Picard group of a generic K3 divisor in a generic member of a deformation type $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ of Fano 3-folds will be precisely the Picard lattice $N_\pm$ of that type. To find matchings for a configuration where $\Lambda_\pm$ is strictly bigger than $N_\pm$, we therefore require *non-generic* K3 divisors in members of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ (the moduli space of $\Lambda_\pm$-polarised marked K3 surfaces forms a subspace of the $N_\pm$-polarised K3s, whose codimension is $\operatorname{rk}\Lambda_\pm - \operatorname{rk} N_\pm$).

For configurations where $\Lambda_\pm = N_\pm$, we deduce below the existence of matchings between some elements of $\mathcal{Y}_+$ and $\mathcal{Y}_-$ from a general fact (due to Beauville [1]) that a generic $N_\pm$-polarised K3 appears as an anticanonical divisor in some member of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$. To apply a similar argument for a configuration where $\Lambda_\pm \supset N_\pm$, we first need to show for those specific $\Lambda_\pm$ that generic $\Lambda_\pm$-polarised K3s appear as anticanonical divisors in members of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$. Even when it is true, it is something that we can so far only verify case by case. We will refer to this process as 'handcrafting'.

Remark 5.3. Before we state our main existence result for matchings with a prescribed configuration, let us point out some necessary conditions.

(i) Since $W(\mathbb{R})$ contains two positive classes k_+ and k_-, and its orthogonal complement contains the positive class k_0, the quadratic form on W must be non-degenerate of signature $(2, w-2)$.
(ii) $W_\pm \subset N_\pm$ must contain some ample classes of $Y_\pm$.
(iii) Since $\Lambda_+ \cap \Lambda_- \subset \operatorname{Pic}\Sigma_\pm$ and is orthogonal to an ample class of $\Sigma_\pm$, it cannot contain any (-2)-classes.

In particular, (ii) implies that any matching involving a Fano with Picard rank $\operatorname{rk} N = 1$ must be perpendicular.

In order to describe the required genericity property, we recall some further terminology. The period domain is the space of oriented positive 2-planes in $L(\mathbb{R})$. It can be identified with $\{\Pi \in \mathbb{P}(L(\mathbb{C})) : \Pi\overline{\Pi} > 0\}$ in order to exhibit a natural complex structure. Given $N \subset L$, the period domain of N-polarised K3 surfaces is $D_N := \{\Pi \in \mathbb{P}(N^\perp(\mathbb{C})) : \Pi\overline{\Pi} > 0\}$.

Definition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a deformation family of Fano 3-folds, and pick a primitive isometric embedding $N \subset L$ of its Picard lattice. Let $\operatorname{Amp}_\mathcal{Y}$ be an open subcone of the positive cone in $N(\mathbb{R})$, and $\Lambda \subset L$ a primitive sublattice that contains N.

We say that $\mathcal{Y}$ is $(\Lambda, \operatorname{Amp}_\mathcal{Y})$*-generic* if there is $U_\mathcal{Y} \subseteq D_N$ with complement a locally finite union of complex analytic submanifolds of positive codimension with the property that: for any $\Pi \in U_\mathcal{Y}$

and $k \in \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ there is $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, an anticanonical divisor $\Sigma \subset Y$ and a marking $h : H^2(\Sigma) \to L$ such that Π is the period of (Σ, h), and $h^{-1}(k)$ is the image of the restriction to Σ of a Kähler class on Y. (These conditions entail that N is the image of $H^2(Y) \to H^2(\Sigma) \to L$.)

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ be a pair of deformation families of Fano 3-folds, and pick a configuration of primitive isometric embeddings $N_\pm \subset L$ of their Picard lattices. Define W, $W_\pm$ and $\Lambda_\pm$ as above. Suppose that there exist open cones $\mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}_\pm} \subset N_\pm(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

- *$\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ are $(\Lambda_\pm, \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}_\pm})$-generic,*
- *$W_\pm \cap \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}_\pm} \neq \emptyset$.*

Then there exist $Y_\pm \in \mathcal{Y}_\pm$ and anticanonical K3 divisors $\Sigma_\pm \subset Y_\pm$ with a matching $\mathfrak{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ whose configuration is the given pair of embeddings $N_\pm \subset L$.

Proof. Like [4, Proposition 6.18]; note that W is automatically an orthogonal push-out of Λ_+ and Λ_-, in the terminology of [4]. □

5.2. **Perpendicular matching.** The simplest way to find a matching between elements of two deformation types $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ of Fano 3-folds is to consider configurations $N_+, N_- \subset L$ such that N_+ and N_- are perpendicular. There are two reasons for this. The first is arithmetic: for nearly all pairs $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ one has $\operatorname{rk} N_+ + \operatorname{rk} N_- \leq 11$, in which case Nikulin [14, Theorem 1.12.4] guarantees that there does in fact exist a primitive embedding of the perpendicular direct sum $N_+ \perp N_-$ into L. The second is that such configurations have $\Lambda_\pm = N_\pm$, so the following genericity result is enough to let us apply Proposition 5.5.

Proposition 5.6 ([3, Proposition 6.9], based on Beauville [1])**.** *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a deformation type of Fano 3-folds, and embed its Picard lattice N primitively in L. Then there exists an open cone $\mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}} \subset N(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{Y}$ is $(N, \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}})$-generic.*

In particular, we can apply this to find perpendicular matchings among the 53 types of Fanos of Picard rank 1 and 2. However, we ignore #1 in the list of rank 2 Fanos, since that does not have an associated Fano-type building block. Given one of the 1378 unordered pairs $\mathcal{Y}_+$, $\mathcal{Y}_-$ among the other 52 deformation types, we carry out following procedure:

- Apply [14, Theorem 1.12.4] to find a primitive embedding $N_+ \perp N_- \subset L$.
- Apply Proposition 5.5 to find a matching between some $Y_\pm \in \mathcal{Y}_\pm$ with the given configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$.
- Apply Proposition 4.2 to produce a pair of Fano-type building blocks with a perpendicular matching.
- Apply Theorem 2.7 to construct a twisted connected sum G_2-manifold M.

Now Theorem 2.8 shows that M is 2-connected, with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free, and

$$b_3(M) = b_3(Z_+) + b_3(Z_-) + 23.$$

Proposition 2.11 implies that $d(M)$, the greatest divisor of p_M, is the greatest common divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_+)$ and $\bar{c}_2(Z_-)$, while Corollary 3.5 shows that $\mu(M) = 0$. Thus all the classifying diffeomorphism invariants of M can be determined from the data in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 3 lists the invariants of the 1378 twisted connected sums obtained this way. A total of 131 different 2-connected manifolds are realised, with 60 different values of $b_3(M)$. For comparison, twisted connected sums involving only rank 1 Fanos realise 82 different manifolds, and 46 different values of $b_3(M)$ [4, Table 3].

Remark 5.7. According to Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.13 of [5], the torsion-free G_2-structures of diffeomorphic 2-connected twisted connected sums with d not divisible by 3 are automatically homotopic (if one chooses the diffeomorphism correctly). However, the table shows that there are also numerous instances of diffeomorphic twisted connected sums with $d = 6$, *e.g.* $(b_3, d) = (103, 6)$ is realised by 7 different twisted connected sums. In that case it is not clear whether the associated torsion-free G_2-structures are homotopic.

b_3	#	d					
		2	4	6	8	12	24
71	15	8	5	1	1	0	0
73	20	16	3	1	0	0	0
75	40	35	3	1	0	1	0
77	39	36	0	2	0	1	0
79	73	65	3	4	0	1	0
81	65	60	1	4	0	0	0
83	76	66	3	6	1	0	0
85	65	51	8	4	1	1	0
87	74	66	4	3	0	1	0
89	62	54	5	2	1	0	0
91	50	46	2	2	0	0	0
93	49	45	4	0	0	0	0
95	52	49	0	2	0	0	1
97	49	42	3	3	1	0	0
99	55	43	7	3	2	0	0
101	32	25	1	5	1	0	0
103	65	54	3	7	1	0	0
105	45	42	0	3	0	0	0
107	45	40	1	3	1	0	0
109	34	31	0	3	0	0	0
111	42	39	0	2	1	0	0
113	43	37	5	0	1	0	0
115	30	28	1	1	0	0	0
117	29	27	1	0	1	0	0
119	31	28	0	3	0	0	0
121	30	27	1	2	0	0	0
123	18	17	0	1	0	0	0
125	9	8	1	0	0	0	0
127	20	14	3	2	1	0	0
129	11	10	0	1	0	0	0
131	9	8	1	0	0	0	0

b_3	#	d					
		2	4	6	8	12	24
133	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
135	10	9	0	1	0	0	0
137	12	12	0	0	0	0	0
139	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
141	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
143	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
145	7	7	0	0	0	0	0
147	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
151	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
153	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
155	8	7	1	0	0	0	0
157	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
159	6	6	0	0	0	0	0
161	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
163	8	8	0	0	0	0	0
165	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
167	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
169	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
171	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
173	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
175	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
179	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
181	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
183	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
187	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
189	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
195	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
197	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
239	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1378	1215	71	72	14	5	1

TABLE 3. Twisted connected sums from perpendicular matching of Fanos with Picard rank 1 or 2

5.3. **Orthogonal matching with non-trivial intersection.** Next we consider matchings with configurations such that $N_+ \cap N_-$ is non-trivial. Then both Fanos must have Picard rank ≥ 2. If we restrict attention to the case when both Fanos have Picard rank precisely 2, then Remark 5.3(ii) means that the only configurations with $N_+ \cap N_-$ non-trivial for which we can possibly find a matching are those where N_+ and N_- intersect orthogonally, in the sense of Remark 5.2. Such configurations have $\Lambda_\pm = N_\pm$, so to apply Proposition 5.5 we do not need any genericity result beyond Proposition 5.6 that we applied to find perpendicular matchings.

Compared with the perpendicular matching problem, the difficulty of finding matchings of rank 2 blocks with non-trivial intersection $N_+ \cap N_-$ is therefore one of lattice-arithmetic: there must exist some integral lattice W of rank 3, containing N_+ and N_-, such that the orthogonal complement of $W_\pm \subset N_\pm$ of $N_\mp$ is non-trivial, and contains a class $A_\pm \in \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}_\pm}$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $N_\pm$ be integral lattices of rank 2 with signature (1,1), and let $A_\pm \in N_\pm$. Let $-\Delta_\pm$ be the discriminant of $N_\pm$, and let $B_\pm$ be a generator of the orthogonal complement. Then there exists a rank 3 integral lattice W with primitive isometric embeddings $N_\pm \hookrightarrow W$ such that $A_\pm \perp N_\mp$ if and only if $B_+^2 = B_-^2$ and $\Delta_+\Delta_- = k^2 A_+^2 A_-^2$ for some integer k. Then k is the generator of the image of the product $N_+ \times N_- \to \mathbb{Z}$ in W.*

Proof. Up to sign, B_+ and B_- must have the same image $B \in W$, so $B_+^2 = B^2 = B_-^2$.

Note that for a pair $(v_+, v_-) \in N_+ \times N_-$, the product of their images in W is

$$v_+.v_- = \frac{(v_+.B_+)(v_-.B_-)}{B^2}.$$

In particular, if we let $a_\pm$ be the positive generator of the image of $N_\pm \to \mathbb{Z},\ v \mapsto v.B_\pm$, then $a_+a_- = -kB^2$. Conversely if a_+a_- is divisible by B^2, then we can define the desired integral quadratic form on $W := (N_+ \oplus N_-)/\langle B_+ - B_-\rangle$.

Now observe that the index of the sublattice $\langle A_+, B_+\rangle \subseteq N_\pm$ is $\frac{B^2}{a_\pm}$. Letting $-\Delta_\pm$ be the discriminant of $N_\pm$, we must therefore have $A_+^2B^2 = (-\Delta_\pm)\left(\frac{B^2}{a_\pm}\right)^2$. Hence

$$(a_+a_-)^2 = \frac{\Delta_+\Delta_-(B^2)^2}{A_+^2A_-^2},$$

and a_+a_- is divisible by B^2 if and only if $\frac{\Delta_+\Delta_-}{A_+^2A_-^2}$ is a perfect square. □

Next we summarise the relevant topological calculations.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$ be a pair of building blocks whose polarising lattices $N_\pm$ have rank 2, such that the kernel of $H^2(Z_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$ is generated by $[\Sigma_\pm]$. Let $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ be a matching whose configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$ has $N_+ \cap N_-$ of rank 1, and let M be the resulting twisted connected sum.*

Let $W := N_+ + N_-$, and let $A_\pm \in N_\pm$ be a primitive vectors spanning the orthogonal complement of $N_\mp$ in $N_\pm$. Then

(i) $H^2(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$,
(ii) $b_3(M) = b_3(Z_+) + b_3(Z_-) + 22$,
(iii) $\operatorname{Tor} H^3(M) \cong \operatorname{Tor} L/W$,
(iv) $\operatorname{Tor} H^4(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/k)^2$, *for k as in Lemma 5.8*,
(v) *If $k = 1$ then $d(M)$ (which divides 24) is the greatest common divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)A_\pm \in \mathbb{Z}/24$.*
(vi) *If $k = 1$ and $d(M)$ is divisible by 8 (so that the Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu(M)$ takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2$) then*

$$\mu(M) = \frac{\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_+))\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_-))}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

where $\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)) \in \{2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$ is the greatest divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)$.

Proof. (i)-(iii) are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.8.

The image $N'_\mp$ of the product homomorphism $\flat^\pm : N_\mp \to N_\pm^*$ from (2.6) has rank 1, and Lemma 5.8 implies that it has cotorsion $\mathbb{Z}/k$ in $N_\pm^*$. (iv) now follows from (2.7).

When $k = 1$, so that $N'_\mp \subset N_\pm^*$ is primitive, the isomorphism $N_\pm^*/N'_\mp \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is realised by evaluation on $A_\pm$. Therefore (v) follows from Proposition 2.11 and Lemma 2.9.

If $x_\pm \in N_\pm$ such that $\flat^\pm(x_\pm) = \bar{c}_2(Z_\mp) \mod d(M)$, then the image of $\frac{x_\pm}{2}$ in $N_\pm/\langle A_\pm\rangle$ has the same parity as $\frac{\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm))}{2}$. Since $k = 1$, we find

$$\frac{x_+}{2}.\frac{x_-}{2} \;=\; \frac{\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_+))}{2}\,\frac{\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_-))}{2} \mod 2,$$

and (vi) follows from Theorem 3.4. □

Six examples of matchings with of rank 2 Fanos with non-trivial intersection $N_+ \cap N_-$ are listed in [4, Example No 9]. However, Lemma 5.8 and the data in Table 4 allow us to be more decisive.

Theorem 5.10. *There are precisely 18 pairs of rank 2 Fanos that can be matched to give twisted connected sums with $H^2(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In all cases $H^4(M)$ is torsion-free and $\mu(M) = 0$. For each of the pairs there is at least one matching such that $\pi_2 M \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

In Table 4 we list the following data about the 18 pairs:

- the numbers $\#_\pm$ of the entries in the Mori-Mukai list used,
- the square of the generator B of the intersection $N_+ \cap N_-$,
- the squares of the ample classes $A_\pm \in N_\pm$,
- the topological invariants $b_3(M)$ and $d(M)$ of the resulting twisted connected sums.

$\#_+$	$\#_-$	B^2	A_+^2	A_-^2	$b_3(M)$	$d(M)$
6	6	−4	12	12	86	12
6	12	−4	12	20	82	4
6	21	−4	12	28	84	4
6	32	−4	12	12	104	12
12	12	−4	20	20	78	4
12	21	−4	20	28	80	4
12	32	−4	20	12	100	4
21	21	−4	28	28	82	4
21	32	−4	28	12	102	4
32	32	−4	12	12	122	4
2	24	−6	6	14	102	6
18	24	−6	24	14	84	12
10	10	−16	16	16	70	8
14	22	−20	20	30	78	2
14	25	−20	20	20	80	2
13	14	−30	20	30	72	4
14	18	−40	40	10	76	2
18	34	−72	18	8	108	6

TABLE 4. Twisted connected sums from matchings of rank 2 blocks with non-trivial intersection $N_+ \cap N_-$

Proof of Theorem 5.10. In Table 2 we have listed $h := \log_2 \frac{\Delta}{A^2}$ and B^2 for all ample classes A in the Picard lattices of rank 2 Fanos, such that h is not too small. Therefore it is easy to read off all cases where the criterion of Lemma 5.8 is satisfied, and they are the ones listed in Table 4. Indeed, because Δ is never greater than $2A^2$ for any entry in the table, matchings of rank 2 Fano 3-folds are only possible when $\Delta_+\Delta_- = A_+^2 A_-^2$, or equivalently $h_+ + h_- = 0$.

For each of the 18 pairs, we can apply Nikulin [14, Theorem 1.12.4] to embed the rank 3 lattice W from Lemma 5.8 in L, thus defining a configuration of primitive embeddings $N_+, N_- \subset L$. Because $\Lambda_\pm = N_\pm$ we can apply Propositions 5.6 and Proposition 5.5 to find a compatible matching.

Since we always have $k = 1$, any twisted connected sum arising from matchings with non-trivial $N_+ \cap N_-$ must have $\operatorname{Tor}\pi_2(M) \cong \operatorname{Tor} H^3(M) = 0$ by Lemma 5.9(iii). For the one pair (row 13 in Table 4) where $d(M)$ is divisible by 8, so that $\mu(M)$ could possibly be non-zero, 5.9(v) shows that $\mu(M) = 0$ anyway. □

There are two reasons why Theorem 5.10 does not claim that there is a unique diffeomorphism type of twisted connected sum arising from each of the 18 pairs. The first is that for some of the pairs we can also embed $W \subset L$ non-primitively, giving a twisted connected sum M with $\operatorname{Tor}\pi_2 M \cong \operatorname{Tor} H^3(M)$ is non-trivial; we do not study this further at this point. The second is that even if we consider only the matchings with $\pi_2 M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we cannot automatically deduce that the resulting diffeomorphism type is independent of the matching. This is because we do not have a classification theorem for this type of manifold.

Similarly, it is unclear whether the two entries in Table 4 that have the same values of $b_3(M)$ and $d(M)$ (rows 2 and 8) must be diffeomorphic or even homeomorphic. We hope to return to the problem of classifying such manifolds elsewhere. We expect that to determine the diffeomorphism type one must further compute the square of a generator of $H^2(M)$, and also some generalisation of the invariants used by Kreck and Stolz [12] for 7-manifolds with $\pi_2 M \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and *finite* $H^4(M)$.

5.4. **Arithmetic conditions for handcrafting.** Having dealt with matchings of rank 2 Fanos where the configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$ is perpendicular or where $N_+ \cap N_-$ is trivial, it remains only to consider the 'skew' case: $N_+ \cap N_-$ is trivial, but N_+ is not perpendicular to N_-. To find skew matchings we have to deal with both lattice-arithmetical problems, and gain some

detailed understanding of the deformation theory of the Fanos involved. Because of the case-by-case checking required, we think of this task as 'handcrafting'.

When the Fanos have Picard rank 2, at least the arithmetic part of the problem can be dealt with systematically. Remark 5.3(ii) implies that the subgroup $W_\pm \subset N_\pm$ orthogonal to $N_\mp$ has rank exactly 1, and is generated by some ample class $A_\pm$. Then W is isometric to W_k for some integer k, where the quadratic form on $W_k := N_+ \oplus N_-$ is characterised as follows: $A_\pm \perp N_\mp$, and $H_+.H_- = k$ for some $H_\pm \in N_\pm$ such that $H_\pm, A_\pm$ is a basis for $N_\pm$. (The choice of $H_\pm$ only affects the sign of k.)

Lemma 5.11. *Let $-\Delta_\pm$ be the discriminant of $N_\pm$. Then W_k has signature $(2,2)$ if and only if*

$$k^2 A_+^2 A_-^2 < \Delta_+\Delta_-.$$

Proof. Since W_k contains the positive definite subspace $\langle A_+, A_-\rangle$ and some negative elements, its signature is (2,2) if and only if its discriminant D is positive. Let $B_\pm \in N_\pm$ be a generator for the orthogonal complement of $A_\pm$ in $N_\pm$, and let $n_\pm$ be the index of $\langle A_\pm, B_\pm\rangle \subseteq N_\pm$. The index n_+n_- sublattice of W spanned by A_+, B_+, A_-, B_- has intersection form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_+^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_+^2 & 0 & kn_+n_- \\ 0 & 0 & A_-^2 & 0 \\ 0 & kn_+n_- & 0 & B_-^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and discriminant $A_+^2A_-^2(B_+^2B_-^2 - k^2n_+^2n_-^2) = n_+^2n_-^2D$. Since $A_\pm^2B_\pm^2 = -\Delta_\pm n_\pm^2$, we find

$$D = \Delta_+\Delta_- - k^2A_+^2A_-^2. \qquad \square$$

In particular, a necessary condition for finding a matching of rank 2 Fanos Y_+, Y_- with skew configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$ is that there are ample classes $A_\pm \in N_\pm$ with $h_+ + h_- > 0$, for $h_\pm := \log_2 \frac{\Delta_\pm}{A_\pm^2}$. We can readily identify pairs satisfying this necessary condition from Table 2.

In terms of the notation from §5.1, $W_\pm$ is generated by $A_\pm$, and *if* $W_k \subset L$ is primitive then $\Lambda_\pm$ is the orthogonal complement of $A_\pm$ in W_k. Let us make an observation about the form on $\Lambda_\pm$ that will prove useful.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $N_\pm$ be a pair of rank 2 lattices of signature (1,1), and discriminant $-\Delta_\pm$. Given positive classes $A_\pm \in N_\pm$, define W_k as in Lemma 5.11, with $k > 0$ such that $\Delta_+\Delta_- > k^2A_+^2A_-^2$. Let $B_\pm$ be a generator for the orthogonal complement of $A_\pm$ in $N_\pm$, and let $\Lambda_\pm$ be the orthogonal complement of $A_\mp$ in W_k.*

Suppose $H \in N_\pm$ has the property that

$$H^2(-B_\mp^2)(\Delta_+\Delta_- - k^2A_+^2A_-^2) \;\geq\; \Delta_\pm\Delta_+\Delta_-. \tag{5.2}$$

Then

$$(v.H)^2 - v^2H^2 \;\geq\; \Delta_\pm$$

for any $v \in \Lambda_\pm$ linearly independent of H.

Proof. Without loss of generality, $H \in N_\pm$ is primitive, and linearly independent of $A := A_\pm$. Then A, H and $B := B_\mp$ form a basis of $\Lambda_\pm$. For $v = xG + yH + zB$

$$\begin{aligned}(v.H)^2 - v^2H^2 &= (A.Hx + H^2y + B.Hz)^2 - H^2(A^2x^2 + H^2y^2 + B^2z^2 + 2A.Hxy + 2B.Hyz)\\ &= \big((A.H)^2 - A^2H^2\big)x^2 + 2(A.H)(B.H)xz + \big((B.H)^2 - B^2H^2\big)z^2\\ &= \Delta_\pm\left(x + \frac{(A.H)(B.H)}{\Delta_\pm}z\right)^2 + \left((B.H)^2 - B^2H^2 - \frac{(A.H)^2(B.H)^2}{\Delta_\pm}\right)z^2.\end{aligned}$$

It therefore suffices to show that the coefficient of z^2 is $\geq \Delta_\pm$. Now note that $B.H = \pm kn_\mp$, where $n_\mp$ is the index of $\langle A_\mp, B_\mp\rangle \subseteq N_\mp$. Therefore $(B.H)^2\Delta_\mp = -A_\mp^2B_\mp^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_+\Delta_-\left((B.H)^2 - B^2H^2 - \frac{(A.H)^2(B.H)^2}{\Delta_\pm}\right) &= k^2A_\mp^2B_\mp^2(\Delta_\pm - (A.H)^2) - B^2H^2\Delta_+\Delta_-\\ &= k^2A_\mp^2B_\mp^2A_\pm^2H^2 - B_-^2H^2\Delta_+\Delta_- = H^2(-B_\mp)^2(\Delta_+\Delta_- - k^2A_+^2A_-^2).\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

5.5. **Handcrafting examples with non-zero Eells-Kuiper invariant.** Let $\mathcal{Y}_+$, $\mathcal{Y}_-$ be a pair of deformation types of rank 2 Fanos. For a skew configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$ of their Picard lattices, $\Lambda_\pm$ has rank 3. Because $\Lambda_\pm$ is strictly bigger than $N_\pm$, the genericity result Proposition 5.6 does not suffice for applying Proposition 5.5 to find a matching with the prescribed configuration. The labourious part of finding skew matchings is to prove that generic $\Lambda_\pm$-polarised K3 surfaces still appear as anticanonical K3 divisors in some members of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$, despite being more special than the generic, $N_\pm$-polarised K3 divisors in the family.

In the present paper we go to that effort only in cases that will lead to twisted connected sums with $\mu(M) \neq 0$. We therefore first explain how to determine the topology of twisted connected sums obtained from a skew matching of rank 2 blocks, and then prove in Theorem 5.17 that all skew configurations of the Picard lattices of rank 2 Fanos that could possibly give twisted connected sums are in fact realised by a matching.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $(Z_\pm, \Sigma_\pm)$ be a pair of building blocks whose polarising lattices $N_\pm$ have rank 2, such that the kernel of $H^2(Z_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma_\pm)$ is generated by $[\Sigma_\pm]$. Let $\mathtt{r} : \Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_-$ be a matching whose configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$ has $N_+ \oplus N_-$ isometric to W_k from Lemma 5.11, and let M be the resulting twisted connected sum.*

Let $A_\pm \in N_\pm$ be a generator for the orthogonal complement of $N_\mp$ in $N_\pm$. Then

(i) *M is 2-connected,*
(ii) *$b_3(M) = b_3(Z_+) + b_3(Z_-) + 21$,*
(iii) *$\operatorname{Tor} H^3(M) \cong L/W_k$,*
(iv) *$\operatorname{Tor} H^4(M) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/k)^2$,*
(v) *If $k = 1$ then $d(M)$ (which divides 24) is the greatest common divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)A_\pm \in \mathbb{Z}/24$.*
(vi) *If $k = 1$ and $d(M)$ is divisible by 8 (so that the Eells-Kuiper invariant $\mu(M)$ takes values in $\mathbb{Z}/2$) then*

$$\mu(M) = \frac{\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_+))\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_-))}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

where $\operatorname{gd}(\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)) \in \{2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$ is the greatest divisor of $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)$.

Proof. (i)-(iii) are immediate from Theorem 2.8. (iv)-(vi) are entirely analogous to the proof of Lemma 5.9. □

Now let us note two consequences for skew matchings of rank 2 Fanos.

Remark 5.14.

(i) Because $h < 1$ for all rank 2 Fanos, W_k can only have signature $(2, 2)$ for $k = 1$. Therefore, whenever we do find a matching of rank 2 Fanos with a skew configuration, the resulting twisted connected sum M always has $H^4(M)$ torsion-free.
(ii) $\mu(M)$ is non-zero if and only if both $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)A_\pm$ divisible by 8 while neither $\bar{c}_2(Z_\pm)$ is divisible by 4. Consulting Table 2, we find that the only rank 2 Fano type blocks with such ample classes A are
$$\begin{aligned} &\#9 \text{ with } A := G + H \quad (h = 0.09),\\ &\#17 \text{ with } A := G + H \quad (h = 0.06),\\ &\#18 \text{ with } A := G + 2H \ (h = -0.58),\\ &\#27 \text{ with } A := G + H \quad (h = 0.09). \end{aligned}$$
Since #18 has h quite negative, the only ways to match rank 2 Fanos to a construct twisted connected sum M with $\mu(M)$ is to use a pair among #9, #17 and #27.

Lemma 5.16 provides the genericity results we need to find skew matchings of these pairs. To prove it we use

Lemma 5.15. *Let Σ be a K3 surface, and $H \in \operatorname{Pic}\Sigma$ a primitive nef class with $H^2 \geq 4$. Then H is a very ample class (*i.e. *the linear system $|H|$ defines an embedding $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\frac{H^2}{2}+1}$) unless there is some $v \in \operatorname{Pic}\Sigma$ such that*

(i) *$d = 1$ and $v^2 = 0$, or*
(ii) *$d = 2$ and $v^2 = 0$, or*

(iii) $d = 0$ *and* $v^2 = -2$,

for $d := v.H$.

Proof. According to [4, Lemma 7.15] (based on [15, Chapter 3]), the arithmetic conditions rule out all possible ways that H can fail to be very ample. (i) rules out $|H|$ being monogonal, so $|H|$ has no fixed part, and defines a morphism. $H^2 \neq 2$, H primitive and (ii) rule out the three different ways that $|H|$ could be hyperelliptic, so it defines a birational morphism onto its image. (iii) rules out any (-2)-curves being contracted, so $|H|$ defines an isomorphism. □

Lemma 5.16. *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the deformation type of #27, #9 or #17 in the Mori-Mukai list of rank 2 Fanos. Let $N \subset L$ be a primitive embedding of its Picard lattice, and let $\Lambda \subset L$ be a primitive lattice containing N. Let G, H be the basis of N described in §4.4, and $\mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}} \subset N(\mathbb{R})$ the interior of the cone spanned by G and H. Suppose that*

$$(v.H)^2 - H^2v^2 \geq \Delta \tag{5.3}$$

for all $v \in \Lambda$ that are linearly independent of H. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is $(\Lambda, \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}})$-generic.

Proof. It is enough to prove that any marked K3 surface Σ with Picard lattice *precisely* Λ can be embedded as an anticanonical divisor in some $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, so that the image of the ample cone of Y in L is $\mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

#27 This is the family of blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in twisted cubics. In this case the Picard lattice is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, so H is a class of degree 4. (5.3) means that if $v \in \operatorname{Pic}\Sigma$ is linearly independent of H and $d := v.H$ then

$$d^2 - 4v^2 \geq 17, \tag{5.4}$$

so none of the cases in Lemma 5.15 can occur. Thus H is very ample, and embeds Σ a quartic in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Moreover, since any irreducible effective class v has $v^2 \geq -2$, (5.4) implies that in fact there are no such classes of degree $d \leq 2$. In particular, the degree 3, effective (-2)-class $2G - H$ is irreducible. By Riemann-Roch it is represented by a smooth rational curve Γ. Its image in $\mathbb{P}^3$ has degree 3, so must be a twisted cubic. By blowing up Γ we obtain a Fano $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

#9 This is the family of blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in smooth curves of degree 7 and genus 5. The Picard lattice is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in this case too, so we have already proved that H embeds Σ as a quartic K3 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and that $2H - G$ is irreducible and represented by a twisted cubic Γ.

We now want to prove that $3H - G$ can be represented by a smooth curve C. Since H is ample, $3H - G$ is positive on all curves except possibly Γ. Since in fact $(3H - G) \cdot \Gamma = 1 > 0$, the class $3H - G$ is ample. In particular $|3G - H|$ is base point free, and a general member C is smooth. Now C has degree 7 and genus 5, so blowing up C defines an element of the family $\mathcal{Y}$.

#17 This is the family of blow-ups of smooth quadrics $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ in smooth elliptic curves of degree 5. In this case the Picard lattice is $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, so H is a degree 6 class. (5.3) means that

$$d^2 - 6v^2 \geq 25 \tag{5.5}$$

for any $v \in \Lambda$ not a multiple of H. In particular Lemma 5.15 implies that H is very ample, embeds Σ as the intersection of a quadric Q and a cubic in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The quadric must be smooth, for if Q is singular then it contains planes. The section of Σ by such a plane would be a plane cubic, defining a class with $v^2 = 0$ and $d = 3$, which is ruled out by (5.5).

Now consider the class $E := 2H - G$, which has degree 5 and $E^2 = 0$. (5.5) rules out the existence irreducible classes in $\operatorname{Pic}\Sigma$ with $d \leq 3$, so E is irreducible. In particular E does not have any (-2)-curve components, so E is nef. Therefore [15, 3.8] implies that $|E|$ is base point free. A generic $C \in |E|$ is therefore a smooth elliptic curve of degree 5. Blowing up Q in C yields a member $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. □

Theorem 5.17. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_+$ and $\mathcal{Y}_-$ be a pair of deformation types of rank 2 Fanos among #9, #17 and #27 on the Mori-Mukai list. Let $N_\pm$ be their Picard lattices, and let $A_\pm \in N_\pm$ be the ample class listed in Table 2. Define W_1 as in Lemma 5.11, embed $W_1 \subset L$ primitively, and consider the resulting configuration $N_+, N_- \subset L$*

(i) *There is a matching of some elements of $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ with that configuration.*
(ii) *This is the only non-perpendicular configuration of N_+ and N_- for which exists a matching.*

Proof.

(i) For this configuration, the lattice $\Lambda_\pm \subset L$ defined in §5.1 is the orthogonal complement of $A_\mp$ in W_1. Let $G_\pm, H_\pm \in N_\pm$ be the basis vectors described in §4.4. Looking up the values of $H_\pm^2$, $A_\pm^2$, $B_\pm^2$ and $\Delta_\pm$ in Table 2, Lemma 5.12 implies that

$$(v.H_\pm)^2 - H_\pm^2 v^2 \geq \Delta_\pm \tag{5.6}$$

for any $v \in \Lambda_\pm$ linearly independent of $H_\pm$. Therefore Lemma 5.16 implies that $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ is $(\Lambda_\pm, \mathrm{Amp}_{\mathcal{Y}_\pm})$-generic, and the desired matching exists by Proposition 5.5.

(ii) By Lemma 5.8 there can be no matchings of these types with a configuration such that $N_+ \cap N_-$ is non-trivial, so the non-perpendicular configuration must be skew. We have explained that for a skew configuration to satisfy the conditions of Remark 5.3, $N_+ \oplus N_-$ must be isometric to W_1. Thus it only remains to rule out any configurations where W_1 is embedded non-primitively in L.

In Lemma 5.11 we computed that the discriminant of W_1 is

$$D = \Delta_+\Delta_- - A_+^2 A_-^2.$$

When $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ are both among #9 and #27, $D = 33$ is square-free, so W_1 does not have any integral overlattice. When $\mathcal{Y}_+$ is one of #9 and #27 while $\mathcal{Y}_-$ is #17 we get $D = 41$, which is also square-free. Hence there are no non-primitive embeddings $W_1 \subset L$ in these cases.

However, when $\mathcal{Y}_\pm$ are both #17 we get $D = 49$. In the basis G_+, A_+, G_-, A_-, the quadratic form on W_1 can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 11 & 1 & 0 \\ 11 & 24 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 11 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because this matrix has rank 3 over $\mathbb{Z}/7$, the discriminant group must be $\mathbb{Z}/49$ rather than $(\mathbb{Z}/7)^2$, and W_1 has an index 7 overlattice $\widetilde{W}$ (which is in fact unimodular). Indeed, $K := G_+ + A_+ - G_- - A_-$ has $K^2 = 98$ and its product with any element of W is divisible by 7. Therefore we can define $\widetilde{W}$ by adjoining $\frac{1}{7}K$ to W.

The only possible way to embed $W_1 \subset L$ non-primitively is via a primitive embedding $\widetilde{W} \subset L$. We now check that there are no matchings with this configuration. Note that $\Lambda_\pm$ is spanned by $G_\pm$, $H_\pm$ and $\widetilde{B}_\pm := \pm\frac{24}{7}K + 5A_\mp$. With respect that basis, the quadratic form on $\Lambda_\pm$ is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 7 & 48 \\ 7 & 6 & 72 \\ 48 & 72 & 552 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that Σ is an anticanonical K3 divisor in some rank 2 Fano of type #27, with $\mathrm{Pic}\,\Sigma$ isometric to this Λ. Then Σ also embeds in a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. For $v = xG + yH + z\widetilde{B}$ we can expand

$$6v^2 - (v.H)^2 = 25(x + 8\tfrac{16}{25})^2 - 5\tfrac{19}{25}z^2.$$

Hence (5.5) fails only for some $v \in \Lambda$ such that $v^2 = 0$ and $d = 3$, *e.g.* $E' := 9G + H - B$. The argument from the proof of Lemma 5.16 for the case #17 shows that E' is represented by a smooth elliptic curve C. By Riemann-Roch, C is a plane cubic. Because Q contains C it must also contain the plane of C, contradicting that Q is non-singular. □

The topological invariants of the twisted connected sums M that arise from the six matchings found in Theorem 5.17 can now be computed from Proposition 5.13. They are 2-connected with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free, $\mu(M) = 1$ (by design), and $b(M)$ and $d(M)$ as listed in the introduction. The six matchings realise four different pairs (b_3, d), and hence four different diffeomorphism types by Theorem 2.2(ii).

We can then consult Table 3 to see that for two of these four smooth manifolds, there exist perpendicular twisted connected sums M' with the same (b_3, d). Then M and M' are homeomorphic by Theorem 2.2(i). However, $\mu(M') = 0$ by Corollary 3.5, so M and M' are not diffeomorphic.

References

[1] A. Beauville, *Fano threefolds and $K3$ surfaces*, The Fano Conference, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004, pp. 175–184.

[2] T. Chinburg, C. Escher, and W. Ziller, *Topological properties of Eschenburg spaces and 3-Sasakian manifolds*, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), 3–20.

[3] A. Corti, M. Haskins, J. Nordström, and T. Pacini, *Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau 3-folds from weak Fano 3-folds*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 1955–2059.

[4] ———, *G_2-manifolds and associative submanifolds via semi-Fano 3-folds*, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*, arXiv:1207.4470, 2014.

[5] D. Crowley and J. Nordström, *New invariants of G_2-structures*, arXiv:1211.0269, 2012.

[6] ———, *The classification of 2-connected 7-manifolds*, arXiv:1406.2226v1, 2014.

[7] J. Eells, Jr. and N. Kuiper, *An invariant for certain smooth manifolds*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **60** (1962), 93–110.

[8] M. Haskins, H.-J. Hein, and J. Nordström, *Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds*, to appear in *J. Diff. Geom.*, arXiv:1212.6929, 2012.

[9] V. A. Iskovskih and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.

[10] D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, OUP Mathematical Monographs series, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[11] A. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. reine angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160.

[12] M. Kreck and S. Stolz, *Some nondiffeomorphic homeomorphic homogeneous 7-manifolds with positive sectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 2, 465–486.

[13] H. Sá Earp and T. Walpuski, *G_2-instantons on twisted connected sums*, arXiv:1310.7933, 2013.

[14] V. Nikulin, *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 111–177, 238, English translation: *Math. USSR Izvestia* **14** (1980), 103–167.

[15] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 3–159.

[16] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, Pitman Reseach Notes in Mathematics, vol. 201, Longman, Harlow, 1989.

[17] D. L. Wilkens, *Closed $(s-1)$–connected $(2s+1)$–manifolds, $s = 3$, 7*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 27–31.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK
E-mail address: dcrowley@abdn.ac.uk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK
E-mail address: j.nordstrom@bath.ac.uk